10 per cent during this period.

Sale of Paper

4327. **SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA**: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any discrimination from dealer to dealer in the sale of paper viz. non-execution of orders of some dealers intentionally by M/s Hindustan Paper Corporation Ltd., Calcutta; if so, what are the details thereof;

(b) whether it is also a fact that demand of existing dealers are being not met;

(c) if so, the reasons for HPC Ltd. to appoint new dealers; and

(d) the number of dealers appointed during the last two years and their details?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT) WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF HEAVY INDUSTRY) (SHRIMATI KRISHNA SAHI): (a) and (b) While there is no discrimination from dealer to dealer, in supply of paper, in some cases there is delay in supply of paper on account of unavoidable reasons like mills being cut off due to flood etc.

(c) and (d) New dealers have been appointed mainly in the unrepresented areas. 84 dealers were appointed in 91-92 and 92-93. The details of the dealers appointed are given in the Statement.

Statement

List of Dealers appointed by Hindustan Paper Corporation Limited in the last two years i.e. 1991-92 and 1992-93

Place/Location	No. of dealers appointed
AHMEDABAD	3
BOMBAY	5
BHARATPUR	1
BANGALORE	4
CALCUTTA	7
DELHI	11
GUWAHATI	4
DHANBAD	1
BHAGALPUR	2
FAIZABAD	1
PATNA	3
JODHPUR	1
TRIPURA (WEST)	1
TRIPURA (SOUTH)	1
CALICUT	1
MADRAS	1
TRIVANANTHAPURAM	1
KUNNAMKULAM	1
KOCHI	1
NELLORE	1
VIJAYAWADA	1
HYDERABAD	3
JHANSI	1
JULLUNDAR	1
KANPUR	2
JAIPUR	1
UDAIPUR	1
MADURAI	1
JAMMU	1
NAGALAND	1
SILCHAR	2
NAGPUR	2
RANCHI	2
BELGAUM	1
JAMSHEDPUR	1
VARANASI	1
SECUNDERABAD	1
BASTI	1
CHANDIGARH	1
INDORE	2
ALLAHABAD	1
HUBLI	1
VIZIANAGARAM	1

High Court Bench at Dibrugarh

4328. **SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA**: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the severe hardships as well as inconvenience being faced by litigants of upper Assam and Arunachal Pradesh because of poor transport services and other constraints in these regions who are to shuttle frequently to/from Guwahati for their cases in the Guwahati High Court;

(b) whether it is a fact that Government have received representations from various quarters demanding establishment of a Bench of the said High Court at Dibrugarh in view of the hardships as in (a) above; if so, the details thereof; and what is Government's reaction thereto;

(c) if so, whether Government propose for